

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/619,778	Applicant(s) WOLFF ET AL.	
	Examiner David Guzo	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:
Status of Application: new case

 (1) David Guzo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mark K. Johnson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: ____

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicants' representative concerning the requirement for a substitute specification made in the Notice to File Missing Parts letter of 10/17/03. Applicants' representative indicated that the specification was filed with 11/2 spacing and was acceptable. This appears to be the case as OIPE has released the case for examination. .